Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/903,124	O'KEEFE, KEVIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ramya Ananthanarayanan	2131
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allo	owable .
(1) Ramya Ananthanarayanan.	(3)	
(2) <u>Donald J. MacDonald</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 March 2005	Time: <u>1 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed: Non-final Rejection filed on November 16, 2004		
Claims discussed: 1 and 15		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Examiner SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	onature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/903,124

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After discussion with senior examiners and a SPE, the examiner told applicant's lawyer that claims 15-19 are allowable. Further, claim 1, and its dependent claims 2-14 are not allowable. However, applicant's lawyer was told in order to make claims 1-14 allowable, claim 1 needed to be amended to include how documents are authenticated due to indexing, because that is the novel, unobvious and useful part of the invention that has been claimed. The attorney responded by saying he will fax amended claim 1 by end of week to examiner, and if any other changes are necessary, another interview will be conducted.